Constrained episodic reinforcement learning in concave-convex and knapsack settings

Motivation

Traditional RL maximizes a single scalar objective function, which is not enough in many real world applications.

- Self-driving car needs to get to a destination quickly while satisfying gas budget
- Video game AI agents need to win the game while playing like human beings
- Robots need to achieve the task while avoiding applying large torques
- Constraints are easier to specify than a scalar reward or cost function

Main Ideas and Contribution

Efficient Exploration

in constrained episodic reinforcement learning setting (cMDP) with a focus on

- Concave reward and Convex constraints (Concave-Convex setting)
- Hard constraints (Knapsack constraints)
- Empirical improvement over previous approaches

Our approach

- Optimism under Uncertainty
- Modular Analysis
- Novel application of mean-value theorem (circumventing challenges in Concave-Convex setting)

Preliminaries

States \mathcal{S} , Actions \mathcal{A} , Horizon H, no. episodes K

set \mathscr{D} of *d* resources, episodic resource capacity $\xi(i)$

cMDP
$$\mathcal{M} = (p, r, c)$$
, True Model $\mathcal{M}^* = (p^*, r^*, c^*)$

Transition probability p, reward r, resource consumption c

Objective: minimize regret with respect to the following benchmark π^*

$$\max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}^{\pi, p^{*}} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} r^{*}(s_{h}, a_{h})] \quad \text{s.t} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{D} : \mathbb{E}^{\pi, p^{*}} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} c^{*}(s_{h}, a_{h}, i)] \leq \xi(i)$$

Reward Regret: RewReg $(k) = \mathbb{E}^{\pi^{*}, p^{*}} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} r^{*}(s_{h}, a_{h})] - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{t=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}^{\pi_{t}, p^{*}} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} r^{*}(s_{h}, a_{h})]$
Constraint Regret: ConsReg $(k) = max_{i \in \mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{t=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}^{\pi_{t}, p^{*}} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} c^{*}(s_{h}, a_{h}, i)] - \xi(i)\right)$

Kianté Brantley, Miroslav Dudik, Thodoris Lykouris, Sobhan Miryoosefi, Max Simchowitz, Alex Slivkins, Wen Sun

Warm up: the Basic setting ConRL • For k = 1, 2, ..., K1. Let $\hat{p}_k, \hat{r}_k, \hat{e}_k$ be the empirical estimates of the true model and define the bonus enhanced model $\mathscr{M}^{(k)} = (p^{(k)}, r^{(k)}, c^{(k)})$ $r^{(k)}(s, a) = \hat{r}_k(s, a) + \hat{b}_k(s, a) = \hat{c}_k(s, a) - \hat{b}_k(s, a)\mathbf{1}_d$ 2. Let π_k be the solution to the following planning problem $\max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}^{\pi,p^{(k)}} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} r^{(k)}(s_h, a_h)] \quad \text{s.t} \quad \forall i \in \mathscr{D} : \mathbb{E}^{\pi,p^{(k)}} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} c^{(k)}(s_h, a_h, i)] \leq \xi(i)$ 3.Run π_k for an episode and collect samples. **Bonus:** $\hat{b}_k(s, a) = \tilde{O}(H\sqrt{1/N_k(s, a)})$ where $N_k(s, a) = \#(s, a)$ visited **Main Result**: with probability at least $1 - \delta$ we have

$$\operatorname{RewReg}(k) \le O\left(S\sqrt{AH^3} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \qquad \operatorname{ConsReg}(k) \le O\left(S\sqrt{AH^3} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)$$

Concave-Convex Setting

Setting and Objective: suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is concave and $g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex. Additionally assume that both are *L*-Lipschitz with respect to ℓ_1 norm. We want to be competitive against the following benchmark

$$\max_{\pi} f(\mathbb{E}^{\pi,p^*}[\sum_{h=1}^{H} r^*(s_h, a_h)]) \text{ s.t } g(\mathbb{E}^{\pi,p^*}[\sum_{h=1}^{H} c^*(s_h, a_h, i)]) \le 0$$

$$Convex Rew Reg(k) = f(\mathbb{E}^{\pi^*, p^*} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} r^*(s_h, a_h)]) - f(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{t=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}^{\pi_t, p^*} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} r^*(s_h, a_h)])$$
$$Convex Cons Reg(k) = g\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{t=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}^{\pi_t, p^*} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} c^*(s_h, a_h, i)] - \xi(i)\right)$$

Algorithm: We can no longer create a bonus enhanced model asme as basic setting by picking the extreme points of confidence interval; instead we merge steps (1.) and (2.) Into a convex program which finds the right bonus and also solves the planning problem simultaneously.

Main Result: with probability at least $1 - \delta$, reward regret and constraint regret are upper bounded by $L \cdot \tilde{O}\left(S\sqrt{AH^3} \cdot K^{1/2}\right)$ and $Ld \cdot \tilde{O}\left(S\sqrt{AH^3} \cdot K^{1/2}\right)$ respectively.





Knapsack Setting

Setting and Objective: Fixed total episodes K; Each resource i has total budget B_i ; This is a hard threshold. The goal is to maximizes the total reward across K episodes while not exceeding the hard threshold.

Algorithm: uses the basic ConRL algorithm with a smaller episodic resource capacity:

$$\max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}^{\pi, p^{(k)}} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} r^{(k)}(s_h, a_h)] \quad \text{s.t} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{D} : \mathbb{E}^{\pi, p^{(k)}} [\sum_{h=1}^{H} c^{(k)}(s_h, a_h, i)] \le \frac{(1-\epsilon)B_i}{K}$$

Benchmark: A dynamic policy (could be history dependent) that maximizes total reward in K episodes while satisfying the hard constraints.

Main Result: Set ϵ properly, with high probability, the reward regret over K

episodes is at most $O\left(\frac{HS\sqrt{HAK}}{\min_i B_i}\right)$, and the hard constraints are not violated.

(Compare to prior work, our budget can be as small as $\min_{i} B_{i} = \Omega(\sqrt{K})$)

Experiments



The performance of the algorithms as function of number of sample trajectories (trajectory = 30 samples); showing average and standard deviation over 10 runs. First two columns shows the comparison to the episodic approaches and the third column shows the comparison with the single-episodic approach.

References

[RCPO] Tessler, C., Mankowitz, D. J., and Mannor, S. (2019). Reward constrained policy optimization, *ICLR* 2019

[ApproPO] Miryoosefi, S., Brantley, K., Daume III, H., Dudik, M., & Schapire, R. E. (2019). Reinforcement learning with convex constraints. *NeurIPS* 2019.

[TFW-UCRL2] Cheung, W. C. (2019). Regret Minimization for Reinforcement Learning with Vectorial Feedback and Complex Objectives. *NeurIPS 2019*.